

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/734,566	12/12/2003	. Reinhard Lihl	LVIP:108US	1877	
. 7590 12/06/2006			, EXAM	EXAMINER	
Robert P. Simpson, Esq.			PETERSON, I	PETERSON, KENNETH E	
Simpson & Simpson, PLLC 5555 Main Street Williamsville, NY 14221			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3724	3724	

DATE MAILED: 12/06/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			4
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Advisory Action	10/734,566	LIHL ET AL.	
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Kenneth E. Peterson	3724	· X
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	iress
THE REPLY FILED 24 November 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THI			
 The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or of this application, applicant must timely file one of the followalces the application in condition for allowance; (2) a N (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in composition of the following time periods: 	on the same day as filing a Notice of owing replies: (1) an amendment, a otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in	of Appeal. To avoid at affidavit, or other evidence on the service of the service	ence, which CFR 41.31; or
a) \square The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date o	f the final rejection.		
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advevent, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the	nan SIX MONTHS from the mailing date o	of the final rejection.	
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b) MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(1)		RST REPLY WAS FILE	D WITHIN TWO
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension a CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened st above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three month earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	and the corresponding amount of the fee. atutory period for reply originally set in the	The appropriate extension final Office action; or (2)	on fee under 37) as set forth in (b)
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on 24 November 2006.	A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 4	11.37 must be filed w	ithin two months
of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(
appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any rep AMENDMENTS	ly must be filed within the time peri	od set forth in 37 CF	R 41.37(a).
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,	, but prior to the date of filing a brie	f, will <u>not</u> be entered	because
(a) They raise new issues that would require further co		TE below);	
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below)		adveing er eimplifyin	a the iceuse for
(c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or	etter form for appear by materially fo	saucing or simplifying) the issues for
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally re	ejected claims.	
NOTE: The amendment to claim 4 is a new issue		= 1	
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.		ompliant Amendmen	t (PTOL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s	-		
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a the non-allowable claim(s).		•	_
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		ill be entered and an	explanation of
Claim(s) allowed:			
Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected: <u>2-7 and 9.</u> Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: <u>10-20.</u>			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, because applicant failed to provide a showing of good are and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).			
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome all rejections under appe	eal and/or appellant fa	ails to provide a
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation	on of the status of the claims after	entry is below or attac	ched.
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 11. ☐ The request for reconsideration has been considered by	ut does NOT place the application i	in condition for allow	ance hecause.
The requestion reconsideration has been considered by	at acces the inplace the application i	in condition for allowe	ance because.

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 08-06)

13. Other: ____.

it was unpersuasive.

12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).

KENNETH E. PETERSON PRIMARY EXAMINER